

Quantum algorithms at the Fermilab Quantum Institute

Gabriel N. Perdue Fermilab User's Meeting, 2022

Fermilab U.S. DEPARTMENT OF Office of Science



What is computing?

metaphysical tower of concepts then allows us to *interpret* the results.



We can simulate algorithms blindly - ultimately *interpretation* is required.

2

Gabriel N. Perdue, Fermilab // FNAL User's Meeting 2022

First, what is computing? One perspective - it is physical simulation of algorithms coupled to interpretation. We manipulate a physical system according to rules. A









What is classical computing?







Think about *circuits*:

You can see how you would implement a table like this one with logic gates:

0	0	1	1
+0	+1	+0	+1
00	01	01	10

You need two inputs and two outputs. This function is called a *Half Adder*:





What is *quantum* computing?

- Draw a contrast to "classical" computing:



https://ai.googleblog.com/2019/10/quantum-supremacy-using-programmable.html https://sqms.fnal.gov/research/



https://www.honeywell.com/en-us/company/quantum https://www.xanadu.ai/hardware



What *is* quantum computing?

https://bit.ly/38bidph

super
$$P_{|\psi\rangle}^{\text{osition}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$$

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle|0\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \\0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \\0 \\0 \end{pmatrix} = |00\rangle \\ \\ \mathcal{K}^{\text{products}} \\ H &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1&1\\1&-1 \end{pmatrix} \\ X &= \begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\1&0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\text{products}} \\ H &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \\ H &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \equiv |+\rangle \\ H &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \equiv |-\rangle \end{split}$$





Why is quantum computing interesting for HEP?

- A quantum computer is a programmable interface to quantum physics experiments.
 - It is a tool for discovery, like a telescope, or a particle accelerator.
- In HEP we face a set of computational challenges in where the only practical path to solution requires the utilization of entanglement and superposition as algorithmic primitives.
- In particular, scalable methods for accurately simulating quantum many-body systems are beyond the capabilities of classical computers.
- Additionally, quantum computers are anticipated to play a strong role in future event generators, speeding up matrix element calculations and even neutrino-nucleus cross section calculations.





Figure courtesy of H. Lamm





Why is quantum computing interesting for HEP?, cont.

- Quantum computing is part of a family of technologies with multiple applications:
 - Sensor
- Quantum searches
 - MAGIS-1
 - Axion hal
 - The Dark
 - See, e.g.
- The poter Main Injector HFP has

0

(b) Civerhead Plan View

ne for MINL A a MINCE Experiments

SLAC

GORDON AND BETTY MOORE FOUNDATION

GBMF7945

CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE Elevation Profile View CALIFORNIA CONTRACTOR OF CONTR Proton Beam from Main Inject Target Hall Decay Pipe Tunnel **‡** Fermilab ine for MIN A a MIN Experiments Neutrino Bea LIVERPOOL OHNS HOPKIN

UNIVERSITY OF

🗊 CAMBRIDGE 🖥

Kavli Foundati

Jser's Meeting 202

OXFORD

UK STFC

CHICAGO

QuantiSED 2019







The Fermilab Quantum Institute

Fermilab Quantum Science Program Thrusts, early program

Superconducting Quantum Systems: Leverage Fermilab's world-leading expertise in SRF cavities to advance qubit coherence times and scalability of superconducting quantum systems. SQMS NQIA Center!

HEP Applications of Quantum Computing: Identify most promising HEP applications on near-term quantum computers; develop algorithms and experience with state-of-the-art machines.

Quantum Sensors: Adapt quantum technologies to enable new fundamental physics experiments.

- Qubit-cavity systems for dark matter detection
- Cold atom interferometry

Quantum Communications: quantum teleportation systems and entanglement distribution architecture for connecting quantum sensors and computers

Enabling technologies: cold electronics, readout & control systems; access to quantum resources for community building and workforce development

space-time.

Foundational Quantum Science/HEP connections: quantum field theory, wormholes, emergent



Slide courtesy of Panagiotis Spentzouris



Quantum computing in FQI

- expertise, and forming partnerships.
 - interactions PRA 98.042312 and 105.052405
 - Martin^{*} https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.06259
 - of Washington (-> U. Trento), Los Alamos PRD 101, 074038 (2020)
 - Google, Sandbox@Alphabet, University of Waterloo Nature (npj) Quantum Information 7, 161 (2021)

 - of Waterloo Supercomputing 2021, also <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.07482</u>

In each case we leveraged FNAL expertise to advance HEP science, and built successful strategic partnerships to round our our QIS expertise and credentials.

• New effort! Over the past three years our main focus was on exploring use cases, establishing

- Quantum simulation for field theory: Foundational work on digital quantum simulation of bosons, fermion-boson

- Quantum computing for data analysis: Quantum annealing for galaxy morphology classification with Lockheed

- Theory inputs for DUNE: Quantum simulation for neutrino scattering — first serious resource estimates study with U.

Quantum computing for data analysis: Machine learning classifiers applied to high dimensional science data with

- Advance QIS to enable HEP applications: Qubit assignment problem (quantum computers for quantum program) compilation) on Google hardware, with U. of Waterloo — under review at PRX, also https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.00445

- Quantum simulation for field theory: Large scale simulation of Z2 gauge theory with Google, Sandbox@Alphabet, U.





Explore and identify HEP science applications



Advance quantum technologies

Utilize strategic partnerships to fill gaps

Gabriel N. Perdue, Fermilab // FNAL User's Meeting 2022 10

Leverage Fermilab strengths







Strategy and tactical approach for FQI

- Illustration by example simulating Z2 gauge theory: paper at Supercomputing 2021 (Workshop on Quantum Computing, see also <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.07482</u>)
 - Largest classical simulation of Z2 on a quantum device to date at 36 qubits.
 - Enabling HEP science: direct study of the bounds for quantum advantage in QFT; 6x6 qubit grid was insufficient for the science, likely require at least 7x7 (theoretically possible to simulate classically, but ~exascale-sized)
 - Leveraging HEP and Fermilab competencies: Theory expertise in problem and quantum circuit design, SCD experience with large scale distributed computing
 - Advancing QIS where appropriate: noise model parameter scan to inform the next generation of QPUs where do various improvements have the most impact?
 - **QIS partnerships to fill expertise gaps:** work with experts at Google, Sandbox@Alphabet, and University of Waterloo to model quantum noise, improve quantum circuits, and accelerate applications on ASIC simulators (TPUs)
 - this research!

• This project was not on a QPU — but the relationship with Google was based on participation in their Early Access Partners program to use their Sycamore QPU (quantum advantage demonstration chip). Special thanks to Google and Sandbox@Alphabet for donating cloud computing and TPU time for





Simulating Z2 gauge theory

- We would like to understand the boundaries for useful "scientific advantage."
- This means pushing the *classical simulation* of quantum systems as far as we can.
- We also need to understand whether Quantum Error Correction (QEC) is required to solve HEP problems.
- We studied Z2 gauge theory on a simulated version* of Google's Sycamore QPU with a large noise scan.
 - Square lattice connectivity is a natural map for Z2 gauge theory.
 - We built a realistic parameterized quantum noise model and performed a large scan over parameter space in order to understand the relationship between theory and hardware errors.



Fig.1 | The Sycamore processor. a, Layout of processor, showing a rectangular array of 54 qubits (grey), each connected to its four nearest neighbours with couplers (blue). The inoperable qubit is outlined. **b**, Photograph of the Sycamore chip.

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{XEB}}$

*The simulated QPU was modified to admit a 6x6 qubit lattice.

Approximating continuous gauge groups

More qubits

- Lattice field theory places the theory on a lattice in a finite volume. Ultimate goal is full QCD with time evolution - exponentially expensive on classical computers.
- Perform computations at different lattice sizes and spacings and extrapolate to the continuum.
- Here use a duality transformation: Z2 gauge action becomes the Transverse field Ising Hamiltonian. Same physics for half the qubits.

$$\hat{H}_{\text{dual}} = -\frac{\gamma}{\beta_H} \sum_{\vec{n},\hat{\mu}} \hat{\sigma}_{\vec{n}}^{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\sigma}_{\vec{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\mathbf{X}} - \gamma \beta_H \sum_{\vec{n}} \hat{\sigma}_{\vec{n}}^{\mathbf{Z}}$$

Fermilab

Figures courtesy of H. Lamm, E. Gustafson, E. Peters

Observables and convergence

- The observable is a correlator between sites simulate *time evolution ->* the Fourier transform gives the glueball mass.
- We chose an observable that is NOT sensitive to sign problems so we may compute the exact result classically - we may use the errors to gauge what the likely errors would be on a quantity we need a quantum computer for.

$$\mathcal{C}_{i,s}(t) = \langle \Omega | \hat{U}^{\dagger}(t) \hat{X}_i \hat{U}(t) \hat{X}_s | \Omega \rangle.$$

 $\hat{U}(t) = \sum |E\rangle \langle E|e^{-itE}.$ Time evolution eigenbasis expansion $\sum A_{i,s}(E_k, E_m)e^{it(E_k-E_m)}$ $\mathcal{C}_{i,s}(t) =$, $\{E_k\},\{E_m\}$

Fig. 1. Comparison of the lattice glueball mass $a_s m$ as a function of β_H obtained from: (grey band) extrapolating the exact diagonalization of \hat{H} from smaller volumes, (open symbols) classical simulations at fixed β_E and varied ξ , (closed symbols) quantum simulations at fixed δt for various β_H .

$$\beta_E / \xi = \sqrt{\beta_H} e^{-\beta_E \xi}$$

Simulation deliverable is a time series

- For each n x n qubit lattice (3x3, 4x4, 5x5, 6x6) and parameter set ($\beta_{H}, \delta t, \epsilon, \zeta$) we compute the C_{i,s}(t) time series.
- O(n²) local observables
- Fourier transform -> spectrum -> glueball mass (mass gap) E₁ - E₀

Fermilab

= 0.5×10^{-3} = 1.0×10^{-3} = 1.5×10^{-3} = 2.0×10^{-3} = 2.5×10^{-3} = 3.0×10^{-3} seless	
= 1.0×10^{-3} = 1.5×10^{-3} = 2.0×10^{-3} = 2.5×10^{-3} = 3.0×10^{-3} seless	$= 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$
= 1.5×10^{-3} = 2.0×10^{-3} = 2.5×10^{-3} = 3.0×10^{-3} seless	$= 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$
= 2.0×10^{-3} = 2.5×10^{-3} = 3.0×10^{-3} seless	$= 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$
$= 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ = 3.0 × 10 ⁻³ seless	$= 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$
= 3.0×10 ⁻³ seless	= 2.5×10 ⁻³
seless	$= 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$

Simulating large lattices

- Large (e.g. 6x6, and even 5x5) face severe memory and speed constraints.
- would only fit on a leadership class supercomputer, using the whole machine).
- Very large speed-up possible on Google TPUv3 ASIC chips (linear algebra accelerators designed for machine learning workloads) using private codes.

Platform

m1-ultramem-160 4 TB RAM m1-ultramem-16 TPUv3-5

Noisy simulation is not even possible for 6x6 lattice (memory requirements)

1	6×6	qsimcirq - 37 qubits,
(qsimcirq)	470 hours	2-local oservables
60 (qsim)	295 hours	anim TDLL 0 x 26 au
12	4.5 hours	1-local observable

Theory errors and noise errors

- Large amount of data to analyze! Representative example: - 5 x 5 lattice noise sweep - (6 ζ 's) x (6 ϵ_2 's) x (3 β_H 's) x (1 δ t)
- Compare errors from noise to theory errors.
- ζ , ε_2 values better than current hardware state of the art by x10-100
- Results here were computed using 64 Nvidia V100 GPUs in parallel on Google Cloud Platform (500 GPU-hours for the plot on the left)

Study conclusions

- simulate exactly with exascale resources.
 - Need to better understand the interplay between inexact simulation and theory errors!
- in modern hardware.
- Great success partnering with scientists at Google and Sandbox@Alphabet
- We helped write on a tutorial if you'd like to try the cloud platform $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
 - https://cloud.google.com/architecture/quantum-simulation-on-google-cloud-with-cirq-qsim

• Even with results up to 6 x 6 in lattice size, the glueball mass has a high uncertainty. • Observables of interest for quantum advantage will likely require at least a 7 x 7 lattice to be included, perhaps larger - right at the edge of what we can

• Noise errors were found to be comparable to physics theory errors, but this was *assuming roughly x10-100 better qubit noise* parameters than what we have

FQI Quantum Algorithms: Vision and objectives

- What are the goals for the next 5 years?
 - enhance New Physics searches is another promising candidate.
 - These two are likely to be our main focus.
 - Quantum computers are plausibly on track to enter the era of Quantum Error number of important open questions:
 - quantum chemistry and materials problems with stronger support in the business community?
 - What *are* the requirements for a quantum computer for HEP applications?
 - What is the most effective role we can play in enabling, and shaping the contours of, QEC?

- It is clear one of the strongest early applications will be quantum simulation of field theories. Data analysis for quantum sensors, possibly networked, to extend and

Correction (QEC - think of it as "self healing" for decoherence) by the end of the decade. This will enable calculations of real scientific value to HEP. There are a

• Will commercial devices be well-suited to run our applications or will they focus on, e.g.

Vision and objectives moving forward, cont.

- What are the goals for the next 5 years?
 - Historical analogies can be dangerous, but the lattice computing trajectory is compelling. We will engage in a co-design process to define the computing requirements for HEP physics and find and defend the "boundaries" of quantum advantage.
 - We will also work to better understand the interplay between quantum networks, sensors, and algorithms. The line is blurry - the same devices can often be used for sensing and computation!
 - These two goals leverage HEP and especially Fermilab's strengths in quantum field theory, quantum algorithms, superconducting devices, quantum networks, detector instrumentation, and theory support for new physics searches.

Thanks for listening!

Noise models and hardware viability

- We built a noise model with two parameterized components.
- Local depolarizing noise rough model for gate infidelity, where P_i is a k-local Pauli operator (e.g., for bit flip and phase errors) and p(j) is a function of ε (governs the likelihood of a given Pauli operator or the identity.)

$$\mathcal{D}_n[\epsilon](\rho) =$$

- We apply D_1 to qubits after applying a single-qubit gate and D_2 after applying a two-qubit gate, with $\varepsilon_2 = 10 \varepsilon_1$.
- For qubit-crosstalk, we use a unitary ZZ error^{*}, with a parameter ζ based on fabrication defects

$$\sum_{j\in\{0,1,2,3\}^n} p(j)P_j\rho P_j$$

 $U_{ZZ}[\zeta] = \exp\left(-i2\pi\zeta T|11\rangle\langle 11|\right)$

Fermilab *See, eg. D. McKay in PRL 122 (May 2019)

Qubits

 $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$

Quantum operators rotate the vector's direction.

What *is* quantum computing?

Super hand-wavy "quantum advantages"

- Superposition lets us create a sum state with two operations instead of four.
- Entanglement means we can manipulate the entire state vector with one operation.
- *Exploiting* these operations with *provable* speedup is actually pretty hard! (Consider measurement if nothing else...)

Computational basis states

What is quantum computing good for?

Photo by Erik Lucero, Google

- behavior.

- Why is quantum computing powerful?
 - _

* R. P. Feynman. Simulating physics with computers. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 21(6):467–488, Jun 1982.

Many things (cryptography, communications, etc.), but the "commercial killer app" will probably be the first proposal*: the simulation of quantum systems - and the money is in chemistry now. Quantum computers will ultimately be able to do something classical computers will never be able to do - simulate exactly the behavior of molecules with complex electron

• The physics undergirding this is that of a system of interacting fermions. There are fewer commercial applications in the simulation of, say, nuclear matter in neutrino-nucleus scattering, but we can benefit from the commercially motivated research in quantum chemistry a great deal!

https://www.smbc-comics.com/comic/the-talk-3

IN QUANTUM COMPUTING, THE WHOLE IDEA IS JUST TO CHOREOGRAPH A PATTERN OF INTERFERENCE WHERE THE PATHS LEADING TO EACH WRONG ANSWER INTERFERE DESTRUCTIVELY AND CANCEL OUT, WHILE THE PATHS LEADING TO THE RIGHT ANSWER REINFORCE EACH OTHER.

