Antecedents of EW Theory

Commins & Bucksbaum, Weak Int^{ns} of Leptons & Quarks

1896: Becquerel radioactivity



Several varieties, including β decay

$$^{A}\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow ^{A}(\mathrm{Z}+1) + \beta^{-}$$

Examples:

$${}^{3}\mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}\mathrm{He}_{2} + \beta^{-} ,$$
$$n \rightarrow p + \beta^{-} ,$$
$${}^{214}\mathrm{Pb}_{82} \rightarrow {}^{214}\mathrm{Bi}_{83} + \beta^{-} .$$

 β^+ -emitters, ${}^{A}Z \rightarrow {}^{A}(Z-1) + \beta^+$, are rare among naturally occurring isotopes. Radio-phosphorus produced 1934 by the Joliot-Curie, *after* positron discovery in cosmic rays. ${}^{19}Ne \rightarrow {}^{19}F + \beta^+$ studied for right-handed charged currents and time reversal invariance; *positron-emission tomography*



Aside on β beams . . .

Possibility to create interesting few-GeV neutrino beams by storing radioactive ions.

P. Zucchelli, hep-ex/0107006

$$\bar{\nu}_e$$
: ⁶He⁺⁺ \rightarrow ⁶Li⁺⁺⁺ $e^-\bar{\nu}_e$
 ν_e : ¹⁸Ne¹⁰⁺ \rightarrow ¹⁸F⁹⁺ $e^+\nu_e$

Electron capture for monochromatic neutrino beams: hep-ph/0505054

$$\nu_e$$
: ¹⁴⁸Dy EC \rightarrow ¹⁴⁸Tb ν_e

Neutron & flavor symmetry

- $M(n) = 939.56563 \pm 0.00028 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
- $M(p) = 938.27231 \pm 0.00028 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
 - $\Delta M = 1.293318 \pm 0.000\,009 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$

$$\Delta M/M \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$$

Charge-independent nuclear forces?

 ${}^{3}\text{H}(pnn) = 8.481\,855 \pm 0.000\,013 \text{ MeV}$ ${}^{3}\text{He}(ppn) = 7.718\,109 \pm 0.000\,013 \text{ MeV}$

 $\Delta(B.E.) = 0.76346 \text{ MeV}$

 $^{3}\mathrm{He}$ charge radius $r = 1.97 \pm 0.015$ fm

Coulomb energy: $\alpha/r \approx 0.731$ MeV

Level structures in mirror nuclei. 1 $I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$: ⁷Li(3p + 4n) ⁷Be(4p + 3n): $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ $I_3 = -\frac{3}{2}$: ⁷He(2p + 3n) ⁷B(5p + 2n): $I_3 = \frac{3}{2}$



A = 7

Level structures in mirror nuclei. 2 $I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$: ¹¹B(5p+6n) ¹¹C(6p+5n): $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ $I_3 = -\frac{3}{2}$: ¹¹Be(4p+7n) ¹¹N(7p+4n): $I_3 = \frac{3}{2}$



A = 11

¹¹Li(3p + 8n) ground state (34.4 MeV) $I = \frac{5}{2}$ isobaric analogue



Chris Quigg Electroweak Theory · Fermilab Academic Lectures 2005 37

The first flavor symmetry

isospin invariance $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ isospin rotations

In the absence of EM, *convention* determines which (combination) is up

Aside: *Without EM,* how would we know there are two species of nucleons?

Parity violation in weak decays

1956 Wu *et al.*: correlation between spin vector \vec{J} of polarized ^{60}Co and direction \hat{p}_e of outgoing β particle

Parity leaves spin (axial vector) unchanged

$$\mathcal{P}: \vec{J}
ightarrow \vec{J}$$

Parity reverses electron direction

$$\mathcal{P}: \hat{p}_e \to -\hat{p}_e$$

Correlation $\vec{J} \cdot \hat{p}_e$ is parity violating

Experiments in late 1950s established that (charged-current) weak interactions are left-handed Parity links left-handed, right-handed neutrinos,

$$\nu_L \xrightarrow{\Leftarrow} \mathcal{P} \xleftarrow{\Leftarrow} \bigvee_R$$

 \Rightarrow build a manifestly parity-violating theory with only ν_L .

Pauli's Reaction to the Downfall of Parity

Es at uns eine baurge Weerd, bekannt in zeben, aus meere leugjetrige, liche Freundin PARITY an 19. Januar 1957 nach krusen Leiten kei en erperiruentelle Engriffen sauft, autschlafen it. Fix die Hinlerblichenen e, M.V.

Pauli's Reaction to the Downfall of Parity

Es ist uns eine traurige Pflicht, bekannt zu geben, daß unsere langjährige ewige Freundin

PARITY

den 19. Januar 1957 nach kurzen Leiden bei weiteren experimentellen Eingriffen sanfte entschlafen ist.

Für die hinterbliebenen

e μ ν

It is our sad duty to announce that our loyal friend of many years

PARITY

went peacefully to her eternal rest on the nineteenth of January 1957, after a short period of suffering in the face of further experimental interventions. For those who survive her,

e μ ν

Pauli's assertiveness training



How do we know ν is LH?

 \triangleright Measure μ^+ helicity in (spin-zero) $\pi^+ \rightarrow \ \mu^+
u_\mu$

$$\nu_{\mu} \xleftarrow{\Rightarrow} (\pi^{+}) \xleftarrow{\leftarrow} \mu^{+}$$

$$h(\nu_{\mu}) = h(\mu^+)$$

Bardon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **7**, 23 (1961) Possoz, *Phys. Lett.* **70B**, 265 (1977)

 μ^+ forced to have "wrong" helicity ... inhibits decay, and inhibits $\pi^+ \to e^+ \nu_e$ more $\Gamma(\pi^+ \to e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 1.23 \times 10^{-4}$

▷ Measure longitudinal polarization of recoil nucleus in $\mu^{-12}C(J=0) \rightarrow {}^{12}B(J=1)\nu_{\mu}$

Infer $h(\nu_{\mu})$ by angular momentum conservation

Roesch, Am. J. Phys. 50, 931 (1981)

 Measure longitundinal polarization of recoil nucleus in

$$e^{-152} \mathrm{Eu}^m (J=0) \rightarrow {}^{152} \mathrm{Sm}^* (J=1) \nu_e$$

 $\downarrow \gamma {}^{152} \mathrm{Sm}$

Infer $h(\nu_e)$ from γ polarization

Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. 109, 1015 (1958)

Charge conjugation is also violated ...

 μ^\pm decay: angular distributions of e^\pm reversed

$$\frac{dN(\mu^{\pm} \to e^{\pm} + \ldots)}{dxd\Omega} = \frac{x^2}{2\pi} \left[(3 - 2x) \pm (2x - 1)z \right]$$

$$x\equiv p_e/p_e^{
m max}$$
, $z\equiv \hat{s}_\mu\cdot\hat{p}_e$
 e^+ follows μ^+ spin e^- avoi

$$e^-$$
 avoids μ^- spin





Commins & Bucksbaum, pp. 92-98

Neutrino factory?





 \hat{s}_{μ} : muon's spin direction $z \equiv \cos \theta = \hat{p}_{\nu} \cdot \hat{s}_{\mu}$

Effective Lagrangian ...

Late 1950s: current-current interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_{V-A} = \frac{-G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) e \ \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) \nu + \text{h.c.}$$

 $G_F = 1.16632 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

Compute $\bar{\nu}e$ scattering amplitude:



$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{iG_F}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{v}(\nu, q_1)\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)u(e, p_1)$$
$$\cdot \bar{u}(e, p_2)\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)v(\nu, q_2)$$

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{iG_F}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{v}(\nu, q_1)\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)u(e, p_1)$$
$$\cdot \bar{u}(e, p_2)\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)v(\nu, q_2)$$

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tr}[\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})(m+\not{p}_{1})(1+\gamma_{5})\gamma_{\nu}\not{q}_{1}] \\ \times \operatorname{tr}[\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})\not{q}_{2}(1+\gamma_{5})\gamma^{\nu}(m+\not{p}_{2})] \\ \equiv \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{2}A_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu} &= 2 \operatorname{tr}[(1+\gamma_5)\gamma_{\nu} \not q_1 \gamma_{\mu}(m+\not p_1)] \\ &= 8(q_{1\nu}p_{1\mu} - g_{\mu\nu}q_1 \cdot p_1 + q_{1\mu}p_{1\nu}) - 8i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}q_1^{\rho}p_1^{\sigma} \\ B^{\mu\nu} &= 8(q_2^{\nu}p_2^{\mu} - g^{\mu\nu}q_2 \cdot p_2 + q_2^{\mu}p_2^{\mu}) - 8i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}q_{2\kappa}p_{2\lambda} \\ \text{Using } \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} &= -2(\delta_{\kappa}^{\rho}\delta_{\lambda}^{\sigma} - \delta_{\lambda}^{\rho}\delta_{\kappa}^{\sigma}) \dots \\ &|\mathcal{M}|^2 = 256q_1 \cdot p_1 \ q_2 \cdot p_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\nu}e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}e$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{V-A}(\bar{\nu}e \to \bar{\nu}e)}{d\Omega_{\rm cm}} = \frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2}}{64\pi^2 s} = \frac{G_F^2 \cdot 2mE_\nu(1-z)^2}{16\pi^2}$$
$$z = \cos\theta^*$$
$$\sigma_{V-A}(\bar{\nu}e \to \bar{\nu}e) = \frac{G_F^2 \cdot 2mE_\nu}{3\pi}$$
$$\approx 0.574 \times 10^{-41} \,\mathrm{cm}^2\left(\frac{E_\nu}{1 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)$$
$$\mathrm{Small!} \approx 10^{-14} \,\sigma(pp) \,\mathrm{at} \,100 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

$$\frac{\nu e \rightarrow \nu e}{\frac{d\sigma_{V-A}(\nu e \rightarrow \nu e)}{d\Omega_{\rm cm}}} = \frac{G_F^2 \cdot 2mE_\nu}{4\pi^2}$$
$$\sigma_{V-A}(\nu e \rightarrow \nu e) = \frac{G_F^2 \cdot 2mE_\nu}{\pi}$$
$$\approx 1.72 \times 10^{-41} \,\mathrm{cm}^2 \left(\frac{E_\nu}{1 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)$$

Why $3 \times$ difference?



1962: Lederman, Schwartz, Steinberger $u_{\mu} \neq \nu_{e}$

- \vartriangleright Make HE $\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu$ beam
- \vartriangleright Observe $\nu N \rightarrow \mu + anything$
- \triangleright Don't observe $\nu N \rightarrow e + anything$

Danby, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 9, 36 (1962)

Suggests family structure

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{e}\\e^{-}\end{array}\right)_{L}\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{\mu}\\\mu^{-}\end{array}\right)_{L}$$

pprox no interactions known to cross boundaries

Generalize effective (current-current) Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{V-A}^{(e\mu)} = \frac{-G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu \ \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_e + \text{h.c.} ,$$

Compute muon decay rate

$$\Gamma(\mu \to e\bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu) = \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3}$$

accounts for the 2.2- μ s muon lifetime

TESTS OF NUMBER CONSERVATION LAWS

LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER

Lepton family number conservation means separate conservation of each of L_e , L_{μ} , L_{τ} .

 $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow e^{\pm} \tau^{\mp})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ limit on $\mu^-
ightarrow e^-$ conversion $\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\cdot}{\sigma(\mu^{-32}\mathsf{S}\rightarrow \ e^{-32}\mathsf{S}) \ /} \\ \sigma(\mu^{-32}\mathsf{S}\rightarrow \ \nu_{\mu}^{-32}\mathsf{P}^*) \end{array}$ $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow e^- \text{Ti}) /$ $\sigma(\mu^- \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})$ $\sigma(\mu^- Pb \rightarrow e^- Pb) /$ $\sigma(\mu^- \mathsf{Pb} \rightarrow \mathsf{capture})$ limit on muonium \rightarrow antimuonium conversion $R_g =$ G_C / G_F $\Gamma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_e \overline{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- 2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \kappa_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \kappa_S^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \eta) / \Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \rho^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(au^-
ightarrow \mu^-
ho^0) / \Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \kappa^* (892)^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \kappa^* (892)^0) / \Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \overline{K}^* (892)^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\kappa}^* (892)^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{total}$ $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 12

[*i*] $< 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 95% [*i*] $< 9.8 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 95% [*i*] $<1.2 \times 10^{-5}$, CL = 95% $< 7 \times 10^{-11}$, CL = 90% $<4.3 \times 10^{-12}$, CL = 90% $<4.6 \times 10^{-11}$, CL = 90% <0.0030, CL = 90% $[i] < 1.2 \times 10^{-2}, CL = 90\%$ $< 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$, CL = 90% $<1.0 \times 10^{-12}$, CL = 90% $<7.2 \times 10^{-11}$, CL = 90% $<2.7 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<3.7 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<4.0 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $< 9.1 \times 10^{-7}$, CL = 90% $< 9.5 imes 10^{-7}$, CL = 90% $< 8.2 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<9.6 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $< 6.3 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<5.1 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<7.5 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<7.4 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<7.5 imes 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<6.9 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<7.0 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<2.9 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90% $<1.8 \times 10^{-6}$, CL = 90%

Created: 6/17/2004 19:40

TOTAL LEPTON NUMBER

Violation of total lepton number conservation also implies violation of lepton family number conservation.

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma(Z \to \rho e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 1.8 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \\ & \Gamma(Z \to \rho \mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 1.8 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \\ & \text{init on } \mu^- \to e^+ \text{coversion} \\ & \sigma(\mu^{-32}\text{S} \to e^{+32}\text{S})^+ / & < 9 \times 10^{-10}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \sigma(\mu^{-127}\text{I} \to \text{e}^{+127}\text{Sb}^*) / & < 3 \times 10^{-10}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \sigma(\mu^-127 I \to \text{arything}) & < 36 \times 10^{-11}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \sigma(\mu^-\text{Ti} \to \text{capture}) & \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to e^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 1.9 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau^- \to e^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 2.1 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to e^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.8 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to e^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.8 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to e^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.8 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to e^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.8 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^+ \pi^- K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.5 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 2.7 \times 10^{-5}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(\tau \to p^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 2.7 \times 10^{-5}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.0 \times 10^{-9}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & \text{ (A : 3.0 \times 10^{-9}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & \text{ (A : 3.0 \times 10^{-9}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & < 3.0 \times 10^{-5}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & \text{ (A : 3.0 \times 10^{-5}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & \text{ (A : 3.0 \times 10^{-5}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & \text{ (A : 8.0 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(D^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & \text{ (A : 8.0 \times 10^{-6}, \text{ CL} = 90\% \\ & \Gamma(D^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} & \text{ (A : 8.0 \times 10^{-6},$$

Cross section for inverse muon decay

$$\sigma(\nu_{\mu}e \to \mu\nu_{e}) = \sigma_{V-A}(\nu_{e}e \to \nu_{e}e) \left[1 - \frac{(m_{\mu}^{2} - m_{e}^{2})}{2m_{e}E_{\nu}}\right]^{2}$$

agrees with CHARM II, CCFR data ($E_{\nu} \lesssim 600 \text{ GeV}$)

$$f(\theta) = \left(2\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} (2J+1) P_J(\cos\theta) \mathcal{M}_J$$

PW unitarity: $|\mathcal{M}_J| < 1$

$$V - A$$
 theory:

$$\mathcal{M}_{0} = \frac{G_{F} \cdot 2m_{e}E_{\nu}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \left[1 - \frac{(m_{\mu}^{2} - m_{e}^{2})}{2m_{e}E_{\nu}} \right]$$

satisfies pw unitarity for

$$E_{
u} < \pi/G_F m_e \sqrt{2} \approx 3.7 \times 10^8 \,\, {\rm GeV}$$

 $\Rightarrow V - A$ theory cannot be complete

physics must change before $\sqrt{s}\approx 600~{\rm GeV}$

2000: DONuT Three-Neutrino Experiment

 \triangleright Prompt (beam-dump) $u_{ au}$ beam produced in



 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$



Kodama, et al., Phys. Lett. B504, 218 (2001)

2000: DONuT Three-Neutrino Experiment

 \triangleright Prompt (beam-dump) $u_{ au}$ beam produced in



 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$



Kodama, et al., Phys. Lett. B504, 218 (2001)

Leptons are seen as free particles

Lepton	Mass	Lifetime
$e^ u_e$	$0.51099892(4){ m MeV}/\!c^2 \ < 3{ m eV}\!/\!c^2$	$>4.6 imes10^{26}$ y (90% CL) $ au/m>7 imes10^9$ s/eV
$\mu^- u_\mu$	$105.658369(9) \text{ MeV/}c^2$ < 0.19 MeV/ c^2 (90% CL)	$2.19703(4) imes 10^{-6}~{ m s}$ $ au/m>15.4~{ m s/eV}$
$ au^{\mu}$ $ au^{-}$	$1776.99^{+0.29}_{-0.26} \text{ MeV/}c^2$	$290.6 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-15} \text{ s}$
$ u_{ au}$	< 10.2 WeV/C ($90/0$ OL)	

Table 1: Some properties of the leptons.

All spin- $\frac{1}{2}$, pointlike (\lesssim few $\times 10^{-17}$ cm)

kinematically determined ν masses consistent with 0 (ν oscillations \Rightarrow nonzero, unequal masses)

Universal weak couplings

Rough and ready test

Fermi constant from muon decay

$$G_{\mu} = \left[\frac{192\pi^{3}\hbar}{\tau_{\mu}m_{\mu}^{5}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.1638 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

Meticulous analysis yields $G_{\mu} = 1.16637(1) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

Fermi constant from tau decay

$$G_{\tau} = \left[\frac{\Gamma(\tau \to e\bar{\nu}_e \nu_{\tau})}{\Gamma(\tau \to \mathsf{all})} \frac{192\pi^3\hbar}{\tau_{\tau}m_{\tau}^5}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.1642 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

Excellent agreement with $G_{\beta} = 1.16639(2) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

Charged currents acting in leptonic and semileptonic interactions are of universal strength; \Rightarrow universality of current-current form, or whatever lies behind it

Nonleptonic enhancement

Certain NL transitions are more rapid than universality suggests

$$\underbrace{\Gamma(K_S \to \pi^+ \pi^-)}_{I=0,2} \approx 450 \times \underbrace{\Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0)}_{I=2}$$

$$\underbrace{A_0 \approx 22 \times A_2}$$

 $|\Delta I| = \frac{1}{2}$ rule; "octet dominance" (over **27**)

Origin of this phenomenological rule is only partly understood. Short-distance *(perturbative)* QCD corrections arise from



 $\dots explain \approx \sqrt{enhancement}$